

# NCFE Level 1 Technical Award in Music Technology (601/6777/4) NCFE Level 2 Technical Award in Music Technology (601/6774/9)

Paper number: P001405 (Practical)

Assessment window: 21 February 2022 - 11 March 2022

# **Mark Scheme**

v1.0 Pre-standardisation

This mark scheme has been written by the assessment writer and refined, alongside the relevant questions, by a panel of subject experts through the external assessment writing process and at standardisation meetings.

The purpose of this mark scheme is to give you:

- examples and criteria of the types of response expected from a learner
- information on how individual marks are to be awarded.

## Marking guidelines

#### General guidelines

You must apply the following marking guidelines to all marking undertaken throughout the marking period. This is to ensure fairness to all learners, who must receive the same treatment. You must mark the first learner in exactly the same way as you mark the last.

- The mark scheme must be referred to throughout the marking period and applied consistently. Do not change your approach to marking once you have been standardised.
- Reward learners positively, giving credit for what they have shown rather than penalising them for what they might have omitted.
- Utilise the whole mark range and always award full marks when the response merits them.
- Be prepared to award zero marks if the learner's response has no creditworthy material.
- Do not credit irrelevant material that does not answer the question, no matter how impressive the response might be.
- The marks awarded for each response should be clearly and legibly recorded in the grid on the front of the question paper.
- If you are in any doubt about the application of the mark scheme, you must consult with your team leader or the chief examiner.

#### Guidelines for using level of response grids

Extended response marking grids have been designed to award a learner's response holistically and should follow a best-fit approach. The grids are broken down into levels, with each level having an associated descriptor indicating the performance at that level. You should determine the level before determining the mark.

When determining a level, you should use a bottom up approach. If the response meets all the descriptors in the lowest level, you should move to the next one, and so on, until the response matches the level descriptor. Remember to look at the overall quality of the response and reward learners positively, rather than focusing on small omissions. If the response covers aspects at different levels, you should use a best-fit approach at this stage and use the available marks within the level to credit the response appropriately.

When determining a mark, your decision should be based on the quality of the response in relation to the descriptors. You must also consider the relative weightings of the assessment objectives, so as not to over/under credit a response. Standardisation materials, marked by the Chief Examiner, will help you with determining a mark. You will be

NCFE Level 1 Technical Award in Music Technology (601/6777/4) NCFE Level 2 Technical Award in Music Technology (601/6774/9) February 2022 – Mark Scheme

able to use exemplar learner responses to compare to live responses, to decide if they are the same, better or worse.

You are reminded that the indicative content provided under the marking grid is there as a guide, and therefore you must credit any other suitable responses a learner may produce. It is not a requirement that learners must cover all of the indicative content to be awarded full marks.

Q	Marking guidance	Total
		marks

Task 1	– Config	uration	
Band	Marks	Description	
3	7–9	Detailed	
		A description of DAW software and other related equipment which is accurate and detailed.	
		A comprehensive explanation of digital audio workstation (DAW) configuration processes.	
		Technical terminology used appropriately and accurately throughout.	
		Tempo and track settings applied correctly, and files imported and organised in DAW accurately.	
2	4–6	Sound	
		A description of DAW software and other related equipment, which is detailed in parts.	
		Description of DAW configuration processes, with some areas of explanation.	
		Technical terminology is used, with some inconsistencies.	
		Tempo and track settings applied, and files imported and organised in DAW, but with minor errors and /or omissions.	
1	1–3	Limited	
		DAW software and other related equipment is identified, but with limited or no description.	
		Limited description of DAW configuration processes, with no explanation.	
		Technical terminology is occasionally used, but with limited success.	

	Tempo and track settings applied, and files imported and organised in DAW, but with significant errors and/or omissions.	
0	Insufficient evidence for a mark to be awarded.	

#### **Indicative content**

- Description of DAW and associated equipment, such as:
  - DAW features available track types, plug-ins, mixing facilities, software instruments
  - controller type (eg keyboard, pad), features velocity sensitivity, additional control interfaces (pots, faders etc), note range
  - headphones bandwidth, quality, stereo image
  - MIDI/ USB/audio cables connection type, additional MIDI/Audio interface hardware
  - computer/OS spec. OS used, RAM, hard drive type/size.
- Technical terminology, such as:
  - creating a project
  - tempo setting
  - track creation
  - audio output setting
  - audio import
  - MIDI import
  - software instrument selection.
- Screenshots that indicate:
  - number and type of tracks
  - tempo setting
  - alignment of all parts.

Band	Marks	Description
3	7–9	Detailed
		A comprehensive explanation of all editing processes, showing knowledge of tools used in context.
		Appropriate technical terminology used appropriately and accurately throughout.
		Editing musically handled and technically accurate throughout.
		Audio file created with no audible errors.
2	4–6	Sound
		Description of editing processes, with some areas of explanation.
		Technical terminology is used, with some inconsistencies.
		Editing undertaken with some minor errors and/or omissions.
		Audio file created but with some minor errors.
1	1–3	Limited
		Limited description of editing processes, with no explanation.
		Technical terminology is occasionally used, but with limited success.
		Editing undertaken, but with significant errors and/or omissions.
		Audio file created but with significant errors.
	0	Insufficient evidence for a mark to be awarded.
ndicati	ive conte	
idicati	ve conte	TIL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTA

- mute/delete
- copy
- MIDI note value editing
- quantise
- automation
- flexing.
- Tasks completed, showing:
  - a carefully edited Chords track. All incorrect pitches between bars 5–8 edited to suit the original sequence of the chords from bars 1–4 (A3 to G3 at bar 5 and G1 to G#1 at bar 8)
  - a carefully edited Kick and Bass track. The rhythmic error in bar 10 has been remedied to reflect the rhythm of the rest of the Kick and Bass track
  - a carefully edited Lead Vocals track. The click sound has been removed from bar 57. There are no unwanted noises.
- Audio mixdown:
  - a carefully exported stereo mix
  - the start and finish of the mix is handled appropriately
  - the output is at appropriate level.

3	Task 3	– Musica	l Development	9
	Band	Marks	Description	
	3	7–9	Detailed	
			A comprehensive explanation of all development processes.	
			Technical terminology used appropriately and accurately throughout.	
			Tasks completed accurately and fully.	
			Creative contextual development of musical ideas and instrument timbres.	
	0	4.0	Audio file created with no audible errors.	
	2	4–6	Sound	
			Description of development processes, with some areas of explanation.	
			Technical terminology is used, with some inconsistencies.	
			Tasks undertaken with some minor errors and/or omissions.	
			Contextually appropriate development of musical ideas and instrument timbres, but with inconsistent creative application.	
			Audio file created but with some minor errors.	
	1	1–3	Limited	
			Limited description of development processes, with no explanation.	
			Technical terminology occasionally used but with limited success.	
			Tasks undertaken, but with significant errors/omissions.	
			Some limited development of musical ideas and instrument timbres.	
			Audio file created but with significant errors.	

0	Insufficient evidence for a mark to be
	awarded.

## **Indicative content**

- Appropriate terminology for musical development used, including:
  - selection of instrument
  - editing of instrument
  - musical ideas.
- Tasks completed, showing:
  - a carefully created software instrument sound for the Chords MIDI part, with thoughtful choice of type and use of both timbre and envelope editing
  - that sustained Fm7 and Fm chords have been recorded onto the Chords MIDI part accurately to fill the two gaps between bars 58 and 60
  - Fm7 and Fm chord placement is correct, as per task
  - a well-developed and musical piano solo between bars
    61 and 64 with appropriate melodic ideas and structure of part, suited to the style and genre of the song.
- Audio mixdown:
  - carefully exported stereo mix
  - the Lead Vocals audio part has been muted, with all other audio and MIDI parts playing and audible
  - the start and finish of the mix is handled appropriately
  - the output is at appropriate level.

Band	Marks	Description	
3	7–9	Detailed	
		A comprehensive explanation of all mixing processes.	
		Technical terminology used appropriately and accurately throughout.	
		Creative contextual application of mixing skills and processes to well-balanced result throughout.	
		Audio file of mix created with no audible errors.	
2	4–6	Sound	
		Description of mix processes, with some areas of explanation.	
		Technical terminology is used, with some inconsistencies.	
		Application of appropriate mix skills and processes but with some minor errors and/or omissions.	
		Audio file of mix created but with minor errors.	
1	1–3	Limited	
		No attempt to explain mixing processes and only a limited description present.	
		Technical terminology is occasionally used but with limited success.	
		Application of mix skills and processes, but with significant errors and/or omissions.	
		Audio file of mix created but with significant errors.	
	0	Insufficient evidence for a mark to be awarded.	

#### **Indicative content**

- Appropriate terminology for mixing used, including:
  - fade
  - automation
  - delay
  - balance/pan/dynamics/effects.
- Tasks completed, showing:
  - the careful and accurate use of automation to control volume, panning (Lead Vocals)
  - the careful and accurate control of EQ using appropriate tools / techniques (Lead Vocals)
  - the appropriate application of reverb to Lead Vocals and Backing Vocals audio tracks
  - the coherent and creative final mix (eg consistently good balance / stereo / imaginative application of effects / musical automation).
- Audio mixdown:
  - carefully exported stereo mix
  - the start and finish of the mix are handled appropriately
  - the output is at appropriate level.